# PROCEEDINGS OF THE DOCTORAL THESIS DEFENSE

In the city of …………………………………., on ………………………………………...……., at the Faculty/School ………………………………………………………………………………………………… meets the Committee in charge of the assessment of the doctoral thesis of Mr./Mrs ………………………………………...……., with ID doc. : ………………………………………...…….,

**The thesis title is:** “....……………………………………………………………………………………….. …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………...……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………”  
and has been supervised by the following doctors: ..………………………………………………………. …………….…………………………………………………………………………………………………….……………………………..  
.…………………………………………………………………………………..…………………………………………………….………  
within **the doctoral program** ……………………………………………..………………………………………………….  
 …………………………………………….……… (according to Royal Decree 99/2011, of 28 January).

**The Committee**, approved by the International Doctoral School of The University of Castilla-La Mancha on ……/………………/…………, is composed by the following doctors:

**The Chair:** Mr. /Mrs. ……………………………………………………….…………………...…….,

**Secretary:** Mr. /Mrs. ……………………………………………………………………………...…….,

**Member:** Mr. /Mrs. ………………….……………………………………………………………..…….,

The Chair states all the required procedures provided for by Royal Decree 99/2011, of 28 January and The Regulation of the University of Castilla La Mancha on the elaboration and evaluation of the doctoral thesis have been fulfilled.

The Committee calls the public session to order, appearing before it the doctoral candidate.

The Secretary reads articles 14.4. and 14.7 of R.D. 99/2011, of 28 January regulating official doctoral studies, modified by R.D. 534/2013, of 12 July.

“*14.4. The Doctoral Thesis will be assessed in proceedings to be held in public session and which will consist of the presentation and defense of the research work carried out by the doctoral student, in the presence of the members of the Committee. The doctors attending the public session may formulate questions at the time and in the manner indicated by The Chair of the Committee.*

*14.7. The Committee will issue a report and the overall grade awarded to the thesis on the following terms: “fail”, “pass”, “very good” and “excellent”.*

*The Committee may award a cum laude mention if the overall grade is excellent and a positive secret ballot is issued unanimously in the same way.*

*The university will enable the precise mechanisms for the materialization of the final concession of the aforementioned award guaranteeing that the counting of the votes for the awarding is made in a session different from the one corresponding to the defense of the doctoral thesis”.*

At …. : …. (am/pm), and in application of the aforementioned precepts, the doctoral student starts his/her intervention, consisting in the explanation of the research work carried out, the methodology, content and conclusions with a special mention of his/her original contributions.

Once the Committee delivered its opinions about the thesis, and the doctoral student answered the questions and objections formulated by the former, The Chair invites the attending doctors (attendees) to formulate questions or objections they deem appropriate.

Subsequently, the Committee invites the doctoral student and the attending public to leave the room and, in private session, begins its deliberation, for which every member of The Committee sets out his criteria regarding the performance of the Student in defense of his doctoral thesis.

Concluded the deliberation and after voting in closed session, at …… : ..… (am/pm) of the aforementioned date it’s agreed to give the doctoral thesis the mark: ……………………….…. (\*)

Subsequently, being the case that the thesis has been graded as “SOBRESALIENTE” (Excellent), each member of the Committee submits a secret vote for the proposal of the “Cum Laude” award. These votes are inserted into an envelope that is then closed and signed on the flap by all members of the Committee. Theses envelopes will be forwarded by the Secretary of the Committee to the International Doctoral School. This School will be in charge of the opening of the envelopes and the counting of votes. If a positive vote has been issued unanimously by the members of the Committee, the Mention “Cum Laude” will be added to the mark “SOBRESALIENTE” and the result will be communicated to the doctoral candidate, to his/her director/s and to the responsible of the PhD program.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| THE CHAIR  Sgd.: ………………………………… | THE MEMBER  Sgd.: ………………………………… | THE SECRETARY  Sgd.: ………………………………… |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  
(\*) NO APTO (Fail), APROBADO (Pass), NOTABLE (Very Good) or SOBRESALIENTE (Excellent).